

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,600	02/23/2004	Bruce W. Kneller	KNEL.00016	3185
	7590 05/03/2007 OF STEVEN B. LEAVIT	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 537			BADIO, BARBARA P	
ROWLETT, T	X 75030-0537		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,600	KNELLER, BRUCE W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

First Office Action on the Merits

Duplicate Claims

1. Claims 6, 13, 14 and 20 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being a substantial duplicates of claims 5, 7, 8 and 15, respectively. When one claim is allowed, the other claim will be rejected as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

The recitation of a property into a compound claim is not considered a further limitation of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 12, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, have been described in *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are (1) the nature of

Art Unit: 1617

the invention, (2) the breadth of the claims, (3) the state of the prior art, (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, (5) the amount of guidance or direction presented, (6) the presence or absence of working examples, (7) the relative skill in the art and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary. When the above factors are taken into consideration, the examiner's position is that one skilled in the art could not perform the invention commensurate in scope with the instant claim without undue experimentation.

Briefly, the instant claims are drawn to a method of improving immune response, neurological condition, symptoms of HIV/AIDS, heart disease etc. and is inclusive of every immune responses, neurological conditions, etc. However, the present specification lacks guidance and/or working examples showing the effect of the claimed compounds in the improvement of any condition. Because of the complexity of the human body and the various conditions encompassed by the instant claims as well as the lack of showing by the present specification of the effect of the claimed compounds in the improvement of any condition, the skilled artisan in the art at the time of the present invention would doubt the claimed compounds would be useful as recited by the instant claims. Therefore, in order to practice the claimed invention, the skilled artisan in the art at the time of the present invention would have to determine the effective of claimed compounds in the improvement of every condition encompassed by the instant claims. Because of the knowledge in the medical art, the quantity of experimentation necessary to practice the claimed invention would be undue.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/785,600

Art Unit: 1617

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The instant claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

Claims 1, 7 and 15 recite "a desired response" but do not define said response.

Therefore, the metes and bound of the claimed invention is unclear.

Claims 5, 7 and 15 recite several compounds wherein =O, i.e., one, is in the β or α position, for example, Δ 5-Androstene-7**alpha**-one-3 β ,17 β -diol. However, the substituent "=O" in the 7-position, is rigid and rotation is impossible, thus, =O cannot be α or β . It is suggested that said compounds be rewritten deleting reference to α or β , for example, Δ 5-Androstene-7-one-3 β ,17 β -diol.

Claims 12 and 16-19 recite the term "includes" which is an open-ended term.

Therefore, it is unclear what else is intended by the phrase "desired response" and, thus, the metes and bound of the claimed invention is indefinite (see MPEP § 2111.03).

Claims 12 and 16 recites "improved" immune response, neurological condition, etc. The present specification lacks definition of what is intended by "improved" or which conditions, for example, which neurological condition is improved and, thus, the claims are unclear.

Claim 18 recites an improvement in symptoms of one or more ailments.

However, because there are a number of different symptoms associated with each ailment, it is unclear which of said symptoms are improved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5-8, 12-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lardy et al. (WO 95/06472).

Lardy et al. teaches Δ 5-Androstenes such as Δ 5-Androstene-3 β ,7 α -diol-17-one and Δ 5-Androstene-3 β -ol-7,17-dione and their use in promoting weight control (see the entire article, especially Abstract; page 4, lines 3-30; Claims 1-18). The compounds and method taught by the reference are encompassed by the instant claims.

Telephone Inquiry

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,600 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617

BB April 30, 2007